

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

In the Matter of:

Venice, CA Post Office
Venice, CA
(Venice Stakeholders Association and Mark
Ryavec, Petitioners)

Docket No. A2012-17

**MOTION OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD**
(November 28, 2011)

The United States Postal Service (the "Postal Service") hereby
respectfully requests an extension of time to respond to PRC Order No. 967.

Pursuant to the adjusted procedural schedule set forth in Order No. 967,
the Postal Service was to respond to Order No. 967 no later than November 28,
2011, 7 days before Petitioners' initial brief is to be filed. However, because this
is a relocation and not a discontinuance of service, there was no collection of
documents, determined by the Postal Service to constitute the Administrative
Record. The Postal Service was required to identify materials relevant to the
relocation decision, and compile these materials from various sources within the
Postal Service. As such, the Postal Service respectfully requests an extension
until December 2, 2011 to obtain all relevant documents necessary to respond to
PRC Order No. 967. Julie Kimball, Esq., counsel for Petitioners stated that she
had no objection to an extension of the Postal Service's deadline, to the extent
that the PRC will adjust the procedural schedule to allow Petitioners to file their
initial brief on December 9, 2011, a week after the Postal Service responds to
PRC Order No. 967.

For the foregoing reasons, the Postal Service requests an extension until December 2, 2011 to respond to PRC Order No. 967.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno

Chief Counsel

Global Business & Service Development

Shayla N. McGee

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2956; Fax -5287
November 28, 2011